1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	REFO	DE TUE
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		7
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5676
12	MARY JO CORDILL	OAH No. 5676
13	5906 W. Beech Visalia, CA 93277	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	Original Pharmacy Technician Registration	
15	No. TCH 74820	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT	
19	1. On or about August 15, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,	
21	filed Accusation No. 5676 against Mary Jo Cordill (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.	
22	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
23	2. On or about March 7, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Original	
24	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 74820 to Respondent. The Original Pharmacy	
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in	
26	Accusation No. 5676 and will expire on November 30, 2016, unless renewed.	
27	3. On or about September 1, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class	
28	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 5676, Stateme	ent to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request
	,	1

for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

5906 W. Beech Visalia, CA 93277.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about September 15, 2016, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Unable to Forward." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5676.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent . . . .
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
  Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as

(MARY JO CORDILL) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5676

1 **ORDER** IT IS SO ORDERED that Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 74820, 2 3 heretofore issued to Respondent Mary Jo Cordill, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on December 2, 2016. 8 9 It is so ORDERED on November 2, 2016. 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 15 By Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. 16 **Board President** 17 12458753,DOC 18 DOJ Matter ID:SA2015105987 19 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

# Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN R. DENVIR Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197268	,	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 445-0378 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF C	ALLITUKNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5676	
12	MARY JO CORDILL 5906 W. Beech		
13	Visalia, CA 93277	ACCUSATION	
14	Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 74820		
15	*		
16	Respondent.		
17	Virginia Herold ("Complainant") alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive		
20	Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about March 7, 2007, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Technician		
22	Registration Number TCH 74820 to Mary Jo Cordill ("Respondent"). The original pharmacy		
23	technician registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
24	herein and will expire on November 30, 2016, unless renewed.		
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
26	3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4300 states, in pertinent part:		
27	(a) Every-license issued-may be suspended or revoked,		
28	<i>fll</i>		
	1		
		(MARY JO CORDILL) ACCUSATION	

- (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
  - (1) Suspending judgment.
  - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
  - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
  - (4) Revoking his or her license.
- (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper . . .

#### 4. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

## STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

## 5. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this

chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
- 6. Code section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.

7. Health and Safety Code section 111.70 states, "No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself,"

#### COST RECOVERY

8. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

### **DRUG**

- 9. Heroin is a Schedule I controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11054(c).
- 11. Methamphetamine is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(d)(2).

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301(*l*), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. Specifically, on or about May 29, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Mary Jo Cordill*, Tulare County Superior Court, Case No. VCF293751, Respondent was convicted by the court on her plea of guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11350(a) (possession of a controlled substance), a felony, which was reduced to a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime are that on or about January 9, 2014, an officer with the Visalia Police Department spotted Respondent at an apartment complex that had a history of illicit drug activity. The officer questioned Respondent, who became extremely irate and swore and yelled at the officer. A substance that tested positive for heroin was found amongst items that Respondent claimed were hers.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)

- 11. On or about December 28, 2012, an officer with the Visalia Police Department responded to a report of a domestic disturbance at Respondent's residence. The officer entered Respondent's home and observed that Respondent's three-year-old daughter was in the living room, which had broken glass on the floor. The officer found a pipe on a paper towel, both of which had a black residue. Respondent admitted to the officer that she smoked methamphetamine the prior evening.
- 12. On or about March 2, 2014, an officer with the Visalia Police Department responded to a report from "J.C.", who stated that Respondent, J.C.'s daughter, was violating the restraining order J.C. had against her. The officer found Respondent nearby, screaming loudly. Her pupils were dilated and her eyes were bloodshot and watery. Respondent admitted that she uses heroin and "meth", and stated that her neck and back had abscesses. Respondent randomly screamed that

(MARY JO CORDILL) ACCUSATION

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
2			
3	DATED: 2/5/16 lugina Skedy		
4	VIRGINIA HEROLD  Executive Officer		
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
6	Complainant		
7	SA2015105987		
8	12072711,doc		
9			
10 11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	6		

(MARY JO CORDILL) ACCUSATION